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Artist's Statement

The native arrow points of the past were worked and formed to become sharp and strong weapons. These sharp rocks were responsible for the defense and welfare of the tribe. As weapons of war the sharp rocks of the Tsistsistas (Cheyenne) people were used for two separate purposes, as defense of attack weapons against man and as tools of preservation through hunting game animals.

Today one may still discover actual Tsistsistas arrow points on the surface of the earth. In touching these weapons I have found clues as to the useful current day defenses and preservation tactics that can serve living Native Americans.

At this time the manifestation of our battle has changed. The white man shall always project himself into our lives using information that is provided by learning institutions and the electronic and print media. Through these experiences the non-Indian will decide to accept or reject that the Native Americans are a unique and separate people with the mandate to maintain and strengthen indigenous rights and beliefs. Therefore we find that the survival of our people is based upon our use of expressive forms of modern communication. The insurgent messages within these forms must serve as our present day combative tactics.

As a native artist, these insurgent messages delivered through art must present the fact that Native Americans are decidedly different from the dominant white culture in America. The world view which we hold is a creation of our circular awareness and soe self-determination.

With Reservations

One of the unique and curious features of the American landscape is the existence of Indian reservations. These anachronistic and unsympathetic boundaries pose an insistent reminder of the historic paranoia of the U.S. government. Although they are not surrounded by stockades or barbed wire, Native Americans are effectively imprisoned by this economic and political separatism. And, insofar as the definition of territory contributes to the definition of national character, in establishing insufficient homeland for displaced Native Americans over a century ago, the U.S. government set in motion a policy of segregation and humiliation which remains uncorrected to this day.

Edgar Heap of Birds wants to educate white Americans about the injustices of Indian life. In his language installations, the phrases he uses are often militant accusations toward the dominant culture, such as, "Oh. Those South African Homelands You Impose U.S. Indian Reservations." Here Heap of Birds suggests that while Americans are often sensitive to the plight of racial or political minorities in other countries—in this case South Africa—they are often willfully indifferent to comparably grievous inequities in their own country. In support of his argument, Heap of Birds cites the poor standard of living on reservations: housing is insufficient, the quality of health care is low, and the rates of infant mortality and suicide are abnormally high.

Although Heap of Birds believes in the fundamental and irreconcilable differences between Native American and Anglo-American culture, his work serves as a type of bridge between the two. In educating the dominant culture about Native Americans, Heap of Birds is also introducing them to their own misunderstandings. Although he cannot enable white Americans to experience Native American culture, he can make clear their misapprehensions, their stereotypes, and their exploitations. One of Heap of Birds' word pieces parodies this appropriative domination: "We don't want Indians, just their names, mascots, machines, cities, products, buildings."

In his exhibition "Sharp Rocks" (from which The New Museum installation is drawn), Heap of Birds has constructed a way of informing the public about Native American protests and at the same time gaining some small protection against further abuses. To this end, the exhibition encompasses a variety of media—paintings, language installations, works on paper, videotapes—for Heap of Birds understands that all forms of modern cultural communication must be made accessible if the Native American voice is to be heard. For him access to these media is protection just as arrow points (sharp rocks) were protection for his ancestors.

Edgar Heap of Birds then offers an exemplary model of political art practice, combining didacticism with traditional aesthetic forms. Moreover, his work stresses that for minority artists the alternatives are not simply limited to assimilation or separation. Rather the more valuable strategy is to place the sharply pointed protest squarely at the center of the mainstream culture.

—Brian Wallis

Lightning Woman and her grandson Edgar Heap of Birds with the language installation "Possible Lives."